alifornia	
ofC	
District	
Jorthern	

1			
2	United States District Court		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5			
6	ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,	Case No.: 11-CV-05149 YGR	
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING PARTIES' JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER BRIEF	
8	vs.	DISCOVERY LETTER DRIEF	
9	SECURITY ONE INT'L, INC. et al.,		
10	Defendants.		
11			
12	The parties have filed a Joint Discovery Letter Brief, (Dkt. No. 202), which asks the Court to		
13	resolve the following discovery issue:		
14	Whether ADT should be permitted, outside of the constraints of ordinary merits		
15	discovery, to depose former ADT customers and defendant Claudio Hand concerning alleged violations of the terms of the Court's January 3, 2013, order barring the use of		
16	false claims of affiliation with alarm system manufacturers GE and Honeywell.		
17	The Court understands that "Security One has agreed to depositions concerning complaints		
18	that ADT first became aware of on or after March 15." Accordingly, the Court will address only		
19	those depositions to which Security One has not agreed—depositions concerning complaints that		
20	ADT first became aware of before March 15, 2013.		
21	ADT has not made a sufficiently persuasive showing that Defendants have violated the terms		
22	of the Court's January 3, 2013 Order so as to justify ordering the requested discovery.		
23	Without further order of the Court, the use of any agreed to depositions shall be limited to		
24	proving violations of the injunction.		

This Order Terminates Docket Number 202.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: April 9, 2013

25

26

27

28